

Flagstaff Cultural Partners

Annual Report to Flagstaff City Council

January 2011





FY 2010-11 Art & Science Fund

REPORT CONTENTS

Art & Science Fund Committee
Funding Decisions
Grant Awards
Special Grant Awards
Addendum
Ranking Form

 \sim \sim \sim \sim

Flagstaff Cultural Partners Annual Report to Flagstaff City Council January 2011



FLAGSTAFF CULTURAL PARTNERS

2010-11 A&S Fund Committee Members

Committee Chairperson (voting)

Holly Taylor (FCP Board member)

Committee Members (voting)

Melissa Collins-Cripps (FCP Board Member) Musician State Farm Agent

Steve Dorsett Architect

Karl Eberhard City of Flagstaff

Richard Fernandez (FCP Board Member) Pesto Brothers Piazza

Carleton Sheppard Local Artist

Randi Axler Peak Education, Inc.

Bob Millis

Bob Golub Retired Non-Profit Director

Retired Non-Profit Director

Kay Doggett Retired Grant Writer Flagstaff Cultural Partners' Staff (non-voting)

JT Tannous Executive Director <u>jtannous@culturalpartners.org</u>

Robin Cadigan Office Manager readigan@culturalpartners.org

Flagstaff Cultural Partners Art & Science Fund 2010-11

Funding Decisions

To make decisions on the disbursement of Art & Science Fund monies available each year, Flagstaff Cultural Partners conducts a transparent grant cycle and rigorous review process. This process has been developed over the years with input from the City of Flagstaff, Arizona Commission on the Arts, our Board of Directors, several community members, leaders in the art and sciences communities, and best practices in the grant-making community.

The grant cycle review process operates according to the Art & Science Fund Committee Policy (attached). It begins in January with publication and announcement of the Grant Guidelines and Applications (FY 2010-11 versions attached). Applications are due to FCP in late March or early April.

From April through the end of June, the Art & Science Committee carefully reads and reviews all grant applications received. Each member reads all application individually, and through a series of meetings, discusses as a group the validity, viability, quality and public benefit of each proposal. All meetings of the Committee are open to the public and are announced on Flagstaff Cultural Partners' website. Towards the end of this cycle, each member individually ranks each application using the Ranking Form (attached). The criteria by which each application is ranked are as follows:

- Scope of work: artistic, cultural or scientific programs
- Overall scope and quality of program or organization
- Community outreach / public benefits: tangible services and benefits to the community
- Organizational / administrative profile: management and administrative ability to
- complete the project
- Financial status and fiscal management

The results of the rankings (shown on attached Final Awards page for FY2010-11) are then plugged into a spreadsheet that uses a formula to award funding based on ranking and available funding. The Committee meets to review these amounts and make adjustments where prudent. These funding recommendations then are taken to the full Flagstaff Cultural Partners' Board of Directors in June for final review and approval.

The Committee provides feedback to all applicants so that they may improve their applications from year to year. Applications that were not recommended for funding are also provided feedback so that they may improve their proposals in the future, or save time should their application not fit in with the goals of the Art & Science Fund.

In this current fiscal year (2010-11), thirty-seven applications for funding were received by FCP. Twenty-seven applications were approved and ten applications were declined for funding.

In the fall, the Art & Science Fund Committee reviews and makes improvements to the Grant Guidelines and Applications, and other documents, for preparation for the new grant review cycle beginning in January. Attached are those documents for the cycle that begins this month for the 2011-12 fiscal year.

Flagstaff Cultural Partners - Art & Science Fund Allocations FY2010-11

APPLICANT	Requested	SCORE	Final
Flagstoff Fostival of Science	FY 10-11	AVERAGE 32.10	Award
Flagstaff Festival of Science Museum of Northern Arizona	\$10,700 \$30,000	31.90	10,600 29,500
	\$30,000		
Lowell Observatory		31.22	28,600
The Arboretum at Flagstaff Flagstaff Friends of Traditional Music	\$30,000	31.20	28,600
_ =	\$19,999	30.80	18,700
Willow Bend Environmental Ed. Center	\$17,553	30.00	15,800
Children's Chorale of Flagstaff	\$10,500	29.00	9,000
Flagstaff Symphony Orchestra	\$30,000	28.80	25,400
Arizona Natural History / Elden Pueblo	\$6,300	28.70	5,300
Theatrikos	\$19,900	28.20	16,300
Ballet Folklorico de Colores	\$6,957	28.10	5,700
Friends of Camp Colton	\$2,791	28.10	2,300
Flagstaff Youth Theater	\$7,612	27.20	5,900
Northland Family Help / PEACE	\$4,778	27.10	3,700
Artists Coalition of Flagstaff	\$4,559	27.10	3,500
NAU Foundation / KNAU	\$30,000	26.50	22,400
Master Chorale of Flagstaff	\$12,600	26.40	9,300
Heritage Square Trust	\$8,819	25.50	6,200
N AZ Celtic Heritage Society	\$10,000	25.40	7,000
Grand Canyon Guitar Society	\$7,300	24.80	4,900
Hozhoni Foundation	\$5,426	24.70	3,600
USA Dance	\$2,000	24.50	1,300
Sun Sounds of Arizona	\$5,027	24.50	3,300
Flagstaff Community Band	\$2,600	24.40	1,700
Canyon Movement Company	\$19,999	23.78	12,500
Human Nature Dance Company	\$10,460	23.60	6,500
Flagstaff Mtn. Film Festival	\$3,560	23.00	2,150
Alliance for Audience	\$8,170	22.00	0
Weavel Inc / Noise	\$17,526	21.80	0
AZ Hist Soc/Pioneer Mus	\$19,999	21.20	0
Flagstaff Music Festival	\$4,370	21.10	0
Flagstaff Light Opera	\$6,283	20.20	0
N AZ Book Festival	\$4,422	18.80	0
Downtown Business Alliance	\$5,000	17.11	0
Dry Creek Arts Fellowship	\$15,300	15.60	0
NAU / Public Library	\$8,330	15.00	0
Coconino Community College	\$5,200	12.50	0
TOTALS	444,040		289,750

For FY2010-11, at the request of the City of Flagstaff, Flagstaff Cultural Partners distributed an additional \$43,000 in Art & Science Fund grants to agencies specifically identified by the City. This program has been separately reported to City Council on October 12, 2010 (with applicable documentation). However, this fund is included here to show the full range of FCP work on this program during the year.

Flagstaff Cultural Partners - One-time Special Grants - FY2010-11

APPLICANT	Requested	SCORE	Final	
	FY 10-11	AVERAGE	Award	
Greater Flagstaff Forests Partnerships	\$7,509	25.67	7,509	
Southside Community / Weed & Seed	\$5,000	23.67	5,000	*
Coconino Coalition for Children & Youth	\$15,000	22.67	15,000	
Victim/Witness Services	\$10,491	20.44	10,491	**
FACTS	\$5,000	19.63	5,000	
The Guidance Center / Intake Triage	\$13,175	13.22	0	
TOTALS	56,175		43,000	

^{*} Restricted Grant: applicant must have the proposed Mural's final design reviewed and approved by the City of Flagstaff Beautification & Public Art Commission (BPAC) before installation of mural.

^{**} Restricted Grant: applicant must offer, for the event described in the proposal, tickets to the public at \$5 or less, and also provide at least 50 tickets at no cost to individuals who cannot afford the ticket price.

Flagstaff Cultural Partners Art & Science Fund Committee

Addendum to Awards Breakdown Explanation of Declined Applications

Grants for FY 2010-11

Flagstaff Light Opera Company

Strengths:

Offers a cultural opportunity locally that is not otherwise available.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Financial sustainability is a huge concern – can the organization survive year to year? There doesn't seem to be a plan to overcome this issue.

Suggest broadening community outreach.

Organization would benefit from networking /partnerships with other agencies (for example, Children's Chorale and/or Theatrikos) to assist with marketing deficiencies. Suggest bringing additional members onto the Board and into leadership roles. Concern about payoff on their loan - was not addressed in budget or presentation.

Flagstaff Music Festival

Strengths:

Free two day festival draws a lot of people.

Appreciate an event that provides opportunities for local musicians.

The passion that goes into event is evident.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

The organization needs more diversity in funding sources.

Concern that organization appears to be a one-person operation – would benefit from getting others involved as Board and leadership – is program sustainable as it stands? What is the long-range strategic plan?

Concern that board is too small and not reflective of community – and two of three members are family-related.

Might benefit from more involvement with local youth and more collaboration with other local organizations.

Not clear about how they select artists. Need more clarity about this and the nature of the presentations offered in the Festival.

Northern Arizona Book Festival

Strengths:

Adjusting to the economy is good, but maybe shrinking the community benefit also?

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Concern that organization did not show up to give presentation – shows no real commitment to the grant process – this also speaks to their organization's capacity to handle a program, grant, and operations.

Suggest answering application questions and following instructions.

Appreciate that this has been a signature literary event in Flagstaff - concern that the shrinking of the program may be too much – and we're losing an opportunity in Flagstaff to bring celebrated authors.

No explanation of how they plan to make up lost revenues.

Sustainability of organization is a concern – what is the long term plan?

Weavel Inc.

Strengths:

Encourages all ages to participate – engages youth in news and literature.

Offers a less expensive path of advertising for local non-profits.

Applaud in-depth articles – a value to the community.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Major concern about a Board of paid employees having ultimate oversight of organization – non-profit industry standard is that a paid Director answers to a volunteer Board – here they are one in the same.

What is the Board - is it truly a governing board or advisory?

Narrative could have been more clear – hard to follow.

Concern that Flagstaff area budget is probably not accurate given that part of the publication is supported by and supports communities outside of the Flagstaff area.

Need more clarity about the difference between sponsors and advertisers.

Arizona Historical Society

Strengths:

Museum seems to be improving under guidance of Director.

The oral history project is a strong program.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Concern that organization did not show up to give presentation – shows no real commitment to the grant process – this also speaks to their organization's capacity to handle a program, grant, and operations.

Concern that they asked more funding than they were eligible for.

Suggest surveying their visitors and/or guests.

There is no plan to show how they will increase audience size from 12k to 15k. Concern about long-term viability with the scarcity of state funding.

Full application should have been double-sided as required in directions.

Major concern that financial reports were missing, and those provided were unclear and do not reflect the operation of the organization (not signed by two entities).

Revenue stream should be more diverse – could benefit with the addition of fund raising event or corporate support.

Dry Creek Arts Fellowship

Strengths:

The cancer art program is a valuable and powerful program.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Major concern: the Trappings show, which has always been impressive, is not scheduled in the coming year. What direct public benefit is being provided to the Flagstaff area? Concern that the Cuba exhibit doesn't reflect their own mission, or the goals of FCP. The cancer program seems to be the only active program that fits with goals of the FCP fund – could be expanded.

Concern over sustainability of program in terms of leadership - what happens if ED is gone?

Concern that Flagstaff area budget does not seem to reflect their plans to do work outside of Flagstaff.

Did not provide double-sided full application as required.

Alliance for Audience

Strengths:

Professional presentation, passion for their work.

Organization has found the right local representative to lead the effort locally.

Provides that "one place" to go for events.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Suggest following the questions and instructions in the application – did not address FCP goals in the application narrative.

Concern: how much impact does ShowUp.com really have in Flagstaff?

Ticket operation probably doesn't fit in Flagstaff

Concern: there might be some competition with other non-profits for local dollars.

Concern: no Flagstaff representation on the Board – perhaps a Flagstaff-based Advisory

Committee for locally based feedback.

Coconino Community College

Strengths:

Bringing in quality dancers to teach.

Good program for the dancing community.

Performance is free to the public.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Concern: benefit for CCC students and not for public at large – there is no direct public benefit (a requirement for funding, per Guidelines).

There is not sufficient outreach to the community – suggest considerable community outreach and partnerships.

How does this serve the community at large?

Suggest more concrete strategic planning.

Flagstaff Downtown Business Alliance

Strengths:

Beneficial program for the Flagstaff community.

Program is free.

Applaud reaching a lot of families and providing activity for young people.

Appreciate providing opportunities for local performers.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Narrative did not make it clear how this fits with FCP goals.

Major concern that proposed surplus implies that FCP funding would be used for other programs – program appears to be a "fund raiser" which is not allowed according to the Guidelines.

10% of the grant going to fiscal agent is troubling.

NAU / Public Library

Strengths:

Program has potential.

Collaboration element is positive.

Suggestions and/or concerns:

Narrative does not clearly describe the program and use of funds.

Most of the books are going to NAU students – a case must be made for direct public benefit, per Guidelines.

Too much funding to pay for the author to make an appearance – and narrative does not make it clear what the role of the author is.

Donated books should not be listed in the Project Budget – makes the budget appear misleading.



Art & Science Fund Ranking Form July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011

Organization:		Project	Project or GOS				
Project Title	e:						
Amount Requested:	:	New or 1= lowest ranking, 7= highest ranking				r Existing Project:	
1. Artistic	. Cultural (Programs/S				
			of the FCP A				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
B) Ov	verall scope	e and quality	of the progra	m			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
2. Commu commu	•	each/Public I	oenefits – ta	ngible servi	ces and ben	efits to the	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
_	zational/Ac plete the p		Profile – ma	anagement	and adminis	trative ability	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
4. Financi	ial status a	and fiscal ma	nagement				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Total Ranki	ing (from a	bove) :					
Committee Me	ember			Committee Me Date	mber Signature		